



EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1405 CUTTACK, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 2006/ASWINA 3, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 7th September 2006

No. 8143–li/1(S)-17/2005(pt.)-L. E.–In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 30th June 2006 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 12/2005 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial disputes between the management of M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd., Bardol, District Bargarh, their Contractors, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh and their Contract Labourers represented through IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 12 of 2005

Dated the 30th June 2006

Present:

Shri S. K. Behera Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Rourkela.

Between:

M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd.
 Bardol, Dist. Bargarh

.. First Party–Management

2. Their Contractors, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh.

And

Their Contract Labourers represented by IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh.

Second Party–Workmen



Appearances:

For the First Party Management	 Shri M. M. Rout, A. R.
For the Second Party Workmen	 None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa, Labour & Employment Department has referred the following dispute for adjudication :—

- "Whether the demand of IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh for provision of Bus facilities for the School and College going children of contractors workmen or alternatively payment of transportation allowance Rs. 100 per month is legal or justified? If so, what should be entitlement?"
- 2. M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd., Bardol, Bargarh is the first party No. I and the contractor is first party No. II whereas the IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh is the second party.
- 3. I shall take up all the points together. In this case both parties have filed a joint petition to drop the proceedings stating the grounds that in the mean time the matter has been settled by themselves amicably in presence of Conciliation Officer-*cum*-D. L. O., Bargarh. Both parties have filed Form-K of Orissa Industrial Disputes Rules, 1959. It appears from the papers filed by the parties that they have amicably settled the dispute among themselves and they are not interested to proceed with the case. The representative of the management also submitted that he is not interested to proceed with the case inview of the compromise between the parties.
- 4. As the parties did not contest the case, it is not possible on the part of this tribunal to adjudicate the dispute on merit. Accordingly there is no option except to pass a 'No Dispute Award'. Accordingly, No Dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

S. K. BEHERA	S. K. BEHERA
30-6-2006	30-6-2006
Presiding Officer	Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal	Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela	Rourkela
	By order of the Governor
	N. C. RAY
	Under-Secretary to Government